

Fiscal Year 2001 School Health Incentive Fund Grants

(Note: amounts for "school nurse services" funds (column 3) and expenditures (column 4) are based on school nurse salary and fringe benefit costs. Where a positive difference is calculated between funding and expenditures below (column 5), this amount may be applied towards the indicated FY 2001 required local match (column 10). Where a prorated reduction to the formula-driven grant (column 7) is shown below (column 8), divisions should notify the department in cases where school nurse services were provided in FY 1999 through alternative arrangements and the costs were not recorded as school nurse salary and benefits on the 1998-1999 Annual School Report (e.g., contracted with local health department or other provider and costs charged to purchased services).

	(column 3)	(column 4)	(column 5)	(column 6)	(column 7)	(column 8)	(column 9)	(column 10)
Division	Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services	Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)	Difference between Expenditures and Funding	Percentage Proration Applied to Grant per Appropriation Act	FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (unprorated)	FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (prorated)	2000-2002 Composite Index	FY 2001 Required Local Match
001 ACCOMACK	\$115,380	\$191,600	\$76,220	N/A	\$22,775	N/A	.3151	\$10,478
002 ALBEMARLE	\$257,179	\$0	-\$257,179	0%	\$8,248	\$0	.6339	\$0
003 ALLEGHANY	\$44,416	\$15,259	-\$29,157	34%	\$4,412	\$1,516	.3354	\$765
004 AMELIA	\$38,218	\$29,472	-\$8,746	77%	\$3,802	\$2,932	.3500	\$1,579
005 AMHERST	\$102,135	\$85,315	-\$16,820	84%	\$8,942	\$7,469	.3182	\$3,486
006 APPOMATTOX	\$51,615	\$83,434	\$31,818	N/A	\$5,084	N/A	.3121	\$2,307
007 ARLINGTON	\$465,554	\$0	-\$465,554	0%	\$18,654	\$0	.8000	\$0
008 AUGUSTA	\$239,611	\$70,413	-\$169,198	29%	\$12,293	\$3,612	.3638	\$2,066
009 BATH	\$15,314	\$0	-\$15,314	0%	\$390	\$0	.8000	\$0
010 BEDFORD CO.	\$204,119	\$250,470	\$46,351	N/A	\$14,009	N/A	.3996	\$9,324
011 BLAND	\$19,515	\$0	-\$19,515	0%	\$1,673	\$0	.2748	\$0
012 BOTETOURT	\$101,186	\$186,049	\$84,862	N/A	\$3,040	N/A	.4148	\$2,155
013 BRUNSWICK	\$56,591	\$86,684	\$30,093	N/A	\$11,806	N/A	.2822	\$4,641
014 BUCHANAN	\$96,025	\$26,584	-\$69,441	28%	\$19,116	\$5,292	.2573	\$1,833
015 BUCKINGHAM	\$47,932	\$985	-\$46,947	2%	\$8,762	\$180	.2694	\$66
016 CAMPBELL	\$186,581	\$35,087	-\$151,495	19%	\$16,536	\$3,110	.3056	\$1,369
017 CAROLINE	\$82,093	\$69,114	-\$12,979	84%	\$10,687	\$8,997	.3169	\$4,174
018 CARROLL	\$86,484	\$171,355	\$84,871	N/A	\$10,966	N/A	.2952	\$4,593
019 CHARLES CITY	\$17,967	\$63,267	\$45,300	N/A	\$2,335	N/A	.4048	\$1,588
020 CHARLOTTE	\$47,991	\$109,082	\$61,091	N/A	\$7,852	N/A	.2469	\$2,574

<i>Division</i>	<i>Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services</i>	<i>Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)</i>	<i>Difference between Expenditures and Funding</i>	<i>Percentage Proration Applied to Grant per Appropriation Act</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (unprorated)</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (prorated)</i>	<i>2000-2002 Composite Index</i>	<i>FY 2001 Required Local Match</i>
021 CHESTERFIELD	\$723,983	\$154,225	-\$569,758	21%	\$47,108	\$10,035	.4055	\$6,845
022 CLARKE	\$41,744	\$39,217	-\$2,527	94%	\$1,341	\$1,260	.5170	\$1,349
023 CRAIG	\$15,277	\$680	-\$14,597	4%	\$926	\$41	.3416	\$21
024 CULPEPER	\$119,384	\$292,206	\$172,823	N/A	\$12,077	N/A	.3999	\$8,048
025 CUMBERLAND	\$27,234	\$17,979	-\$9,255	66%	\$5,689	\$3,756	.3394	\$1,930
026 DICKENSON	\$61,994	\$135,746	\$73,752	N/A	\$11,805	N/A	.2358	\$3,643
027 DINWIDDIE	\$92,823	\$70,689	-\$22,134	76%	\$10,009	\$7,622	.2940	\$3,174
028 ESSEX	\$36,148	\$4,729	-\$31,419	13%	\$4,462	\$584	.4529	\$483
029 FAIRFAX CO.	\$1,734,538	\$0	-\$1,734,538	0%	\$86,917	\$0	.7171	\$0
030 FAUQUIER	\$202,683	\$0	-\$202,683	0%	\$5,776	\$0	.6115	\$0
031 FLOYD	\$40,760	\$652	-\$40,108	2%	\$3,234	\$52	.3496	\$28
032 FLUVANNA	\$62,877	\$0	-\$62,877	0%	\$3,357	\$0	.3817	\$0
033 FRANKLIN CO.	\$153,012	\$217,795	\$64,783	N/A	\$13,197	N/A	.3923	\$8,519
034 FREDERICK	\$222,786	\$422,794	\$200,009	N/A	\$8,700	N/A	.3842	\$5,428
035 GILES	\$53,773	\$46,397	-\$7,376	86%	\$4,862	\$4,195	.3182	\$1,958
036 GLOUCESTER	\$145,786	\$268,679	\$122,893	N/A	\$12,101	N/A	.3255	\$5,840
037 GOOCHLAND	\$41,460	\$77,934	\$36,474	N/A	\$827	N/A	.8000	\$3,308
038 GRAYSON	\$49,955	\$78,304	\$28,348	N/A	\$6,503	N/A	.2859	\$2,604
039 GREENE	\$54,673	\$31,752	-\$22,921	58%	\$3,689	\$2,142	.3267	\$1,040
040 GREENSVILLE	\$35,315	\$40,461	\$5,146	N/A	\$7,058	N/A	.2483	\$2,331
041 HALIFAX	\$136,153	\$21,098	-\$115,054	15%	\$16,436	\$2,547	.3870	\$1,608
042 HANOVER	\$346,872	\$159,267	-\$187,605	46%	\$9,076	\$4,167	.4693	\$3,685
043 HENRICO	\$878,487	\$657,045	-\$221,442	75%	\$49,114	\$36,734	.5214	\$40,019
044 HENRY	\$198,168	\$166,557	-\$31,612	84%	\$21,180	\$17,801	.3070	\$7,886
045 HIGHLAND	\$4,822	\$0	-\$4,822	0%	\$477	\$0	.5502	\$0
046 ISLE OF WIGHT	\$107,890	\$137,692	\$29,802	N/A	\$10,544	N/A	.3749	\$6,324
047 JAMES CITY	\$158,176	\$368,115	\$209,939	N/A	\$6,895	N/A	.6404	\$12,279
048 KING GEORGE	\$65,214	\$106,190	\$40,977	N/A	\$4,712	N/A	.3539	\$2,581
049 KING & QUEEN	\$19,293	\$33,920	\$14,627	N/A	\$3,585	N/A	.4021	\$2,411
050 KING WILLIAM	\$36,982	\$43,355	\$6,373	N/A	\$2,862	N/A	.3662	\$1,654
051 LANCASTER	\$34,479	\$98,608	\$64,129	N/A	\$2,771	N/A	.6395	\$4,916
052 LEE	\$83,721	\$0	-\$83,721	0%	\$20,774	\$0	.1886	\$0
053 LOUDOUN	\$662,040	\$449,812	-\$212,228	68%	\$10,435	\$7,090	.6571	\$13,586
054 LOUISA	\$89,387	\$206,129	\$116,742	N/A	\$4,799	N/A	.6624	\$9,416
055 LUNENBURG	\$41,757	\$67,189	\$25,432	N/A	\$7,613	N/A	.2448	\$2,468

<i>Division</i>	<i>Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services</i>	<i>Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)</i>	<i>Difference between Expenditures and Funding</i>	<i>Percentage Proration Applied to Grant per Appropriation Act</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (unprorated)</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (prorated)</i>	<i>2000-2002 Composite Index</i>	<i>FY 2001 Required Local Match</i>
056 MADISON	\$40,427	\$38,397	-\$2,031	95%	\$2,574	\$2,445	.4005	\$1,633
057 MATHEWS	\$28,002	\$59,109	\$31,107	N/A	\$1,695	N/A	.4798	\$1,563
058 MECKLENBURG	\$110,402	\$0	-\$110,402	0%	\$14,516	\$0	.3346	\$0
059 MIDDLESEX	\$30,131	\$72,941	\$42,810	N/A	\$2,009	N/A	.5658	\$2,618
060 MONTGOMERY	\$200,026	\$102,555	-\$97,471	51%	\$15,362	\$7,876	.3812	\$4,852
062 NELSON	\$44,800	\$328	-\$44,471	1%	\$3,583	\$26	.5036	\$27
063 NEW KENT	\$50,251	\$72,934	\$22,684	N/A	\$2,107	N/A	.4230	\$1,545
065 NORTHAMPTON	\$50,633	\$191,111	\$140,478	N/A	\$9,999	N/A	.3230	\$4,771
066 NORTHUMBERLAND	\$31,970	\$76,836	\$44,866	N/A	\$2,462	N/A	.6221	\$4,053
067 NOTTOWAY	\$53,169	\$90,296	\$37,127	N/A	\$10,011	N/A	.2584	\$3,488
068 ORANGE	\$80,917	\$109,728	\$28,811	N/A	\$5,921	N/A	.4294	\$4,456
069 PAGE	\$77,305	\$83,842	\$6,537	N/A	\$8,170	N/A	.3088	\$3,650
070 PATRICK	\$59,898	\$38,300	-\$21,597	64%	\$7,003	\$4,478	.2993	\$1,913
071 PITTSYLVANIA	\$202,724	\$171,351	-\$31,374	85%	\$21,608	\$18,264	.2805	\$7,120
072 POWHATAN	\$73,653	\$26,011	-\$47,642	35%	\$2,238	\$790	.4034	\$534
073 PRINCE EDWARD	\$57,904	\$65,198	\$7,294	N/A	\$10,376	N/A	.3262	\$5,023
074 PRINCE GEORGE	\$123,431	\$90,979	-\$32,452	74%	\$10,613	\$7,823	.2723	\$2,927
075 PRINCE WILLIAM	\$931,785	\$38,518	-\$893,268	4%	\$71,468	\$2,954	.4031	\$1,995
077 PULASKI	\$91,480	\$55,256	-\$36,224	60%	\$11,315	\$6,835	.3257	\$3,301
078 RAPPAHANNOCK	\$21,121	\$24,159	\$3,038	N/A	\$595	N/A	.7130	\$1,478
079 RICHMOND CO.	\$26,472	\$22,048	-\$4,424	83%	\$2,769	\$2,306	.3477	\$1,229
080 ROANOKE CO.	\$299,500	\$312,309	\$12,809	N/A	\$7,021	N/A	.4264	\$5,219
081 ROCKBRIDGE	\$66,070	\$0	-\$66,070	0%	\$4,398	\$0	.4232	\$0
082 ROCKINGHAM	\$233,239	\$139,054	-\$94,186	60%	\$13,917	\$8,297	.3674	\$4,819
083 RUSSELL	\$89,821	\$0	-\$89,821	0%	\$13,421	\$0	.2705	\$0
084 SCOTT	\$81,041	\$41,750	-\$39,291	52%	\$12,231	\$6,301	.2298	\$1,880
085 SHENANDOAH	\$113,964	\$215,836	\$101,871	N/A	\$6,434	N/A	.3908	\$4,127
086 SMYTH	\$24,407	\$94,922	\$70,515	N/A	\$14,505	N/A	.2625	\$5,163
087 SOUTHAMPTON	\$62,961	\$86,230	\$23,269	N/A	\$8,505	N/A	.3093	\$3,809
088 SPOTSYLVANIA	\$376,916	\$733,552	\$356,637	N/A	\$17,030	N/A	.3692	\$9,967
089 STAFFORD	\$418,175	\$660,070	\$241,896	N/A	\$17,221	N/A	.3429	\$8,987
090 SURRY	\$26,675	\$80,685	\$54,010	N/A	\$1,155	N/A	.8000	\$4,620
091 SUSSEX	\$32,254	\$16,678	-\$15,576	52%	\$7,126	\$3,685	.3229	\$1,757
092 TAZEWELL	\$165,986	\$152,436	-\$13,550	92%	\$23,401	\$21,491	.2753	\$8,164
093 WARREN	\$103,246	\$168,901	\$65,655	N/A	\$5,068	N/A	.3951	\$3,310

<i>Division</i>	<i>Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services</i>	<i>Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)</i>	<i>Difference between Expenditures and Funding</i>	<i>Percentage Proration Applied to Grant per Appropriation Act</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (unprorated)</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (prorated)</i>	<i>2000-2002 Composite Index</i>	<i>FY 2001 Required Local Match</i>
094 WASHINGTON	\$161,931	\$179,707	\$17,776	N/A	\$15,363	N/A	.3532	\$8,389
095 WESTMORELAND	\$38,052	\$33,968	-\$4,084	89%	\$6,170	\$5,508	.3909	\$3,535
096 WISE	\$159,718	\$140,129	-\$19,589	88%	\$22,720	\$19,933	.2237	\$5,744
097 WYTHE	\$91,969	\$40,143	-\$51,827	44%	\$8,646	\$3,774	.3282	\$1,844
098 YORK	\$249,028	\$324,512	\$75,484	N/A	\$8,766	N/A	.3881	\$5,560
101 ALEXANDRIA	\$270,095	\$754,606	\$484,511	N/A	\$14,451	N/A	.8000	\$57,804
102 BRISTOL	\$51,452	\$54,370	\$2,918	N/A	\$6,429	N/A	.3583	\$3,590
103 BUENA VISTA	\$20,910	\$0	-\$20,910	0%	\$2,483	\$0	.2518	\$0
104 CHARLOTTESVILLE	\$94,950	\$205,228	\$110,278	N/A	\$10,218	N/A	.5509	\$12,534
105 CLIFTON FORGE	\$19,067	\$6,557	-\$12,511	34%	\$2,160	\$743	.2423	\$238
106 COLONIAL HEIGHTS	\$59,726	\$95,672	\$35,945	N/A	\$2,404	N/A	.4940	\$2,347
107 COVINGTON	\$20,238	\$8,123	-\$12,115	40%	\$2,008	\$806	.3358	\$407
108 DANVILLE	\$169,640	\$59,691	-\$109,949	35%	\$31,711	\$11,158	.3037	\$4,867
109 FALLS CHURCH	\$16,774	\$0	-\$16,774	0%	\$491	\$0	.8000	\$0
110 FREDERICKSBURG	\$47,411	\$83,060	\$35,649	N/A	\$3,205	N/A	.6859	\$6,999
111 GALAX	\$27,532	\$13,495	-\$14,037	49%	\$3,600	\$1,765	.3339	\$885
112 HAMPTON	\$513,613	\$1,035,902	\$522,289	N/A	\$63,813	N/A	.2803	\$24,853
113 HARRISONBURG	\$63,937	\$37,556	-\$26,381	59%	\$6,690	\$3,930	.5493	\$4,789
114 HOPEWELL	\$88,022	\$165,298	\$77,276	N/A	\$13,705	N/A	.2673	\$5,000
115 LYNCHBURG	\$203,814	\$619,759	\$415,945	N/A	\$25,910	N/A	.3901	\$16,572
116 MARTINSVILLE	\$57,979	\$84,613	\$26,635	N/A	\$8,093	N/A	.3210	\$3,826
117 NEWPORT NEWS	\$701,838	\$1,162,717	\$460,879	N/A	\$110,095	N/A	.2799	\$42,793
118 NORFOLK	\$787,217	\$49,135	-\$738,082	6%	\$165,910	\$10,355	.2763	\$3,954
119 NORTON	\$16,864	\$0	-\$16,864	0%	\$2,085	\$0	.3501	\$0
120 PETERSBURG	\$133,569	\$323,390	\$189,821	N/A	\$34,680	N/A	.2240	\$10,011
121 PORTSMOUTH	\$382,899	\$910,537	\$527,638	N/A	\$79,394	N/A	.2225	\$22,720
122 RADFORD	\$6,130	\$0	-\$6,130	0%	\$1,873	\$0	.3313	\$0
123 RICHMOND CITY	\$575,308	\$1,822,401	\$1,247,093	N/A	\$107,674	N/A	.4536	\$89,387
124 ROANOKE CITY	\$272,856	\$597,778	\$324,922	N/A	\$46,217	N/A	.4078	\$31,826
126 STAUNTON	\$36,879	\$66,531	\$29,652	N/A	\$7,321	N/A	.4131	\$5,153
127 SUFFOLK	\$244,431	\$550,408	\$305,978	N/A	\$36,860	N/A	.3229	\$17,578
128 VIRGINIA BEACH	\$1,672,353	\$2,524,104	\$851,751	N/A	\$106,346	N/A	.3523	\$57,844
130 WAYNESBORO	\$48,850	\$105,600	\$56,750	N/A	\$6,970	N/A	.3730	\$4,146
131 WILLIAMSBURG	\$15,939	\$37,094	\$21,155	N/A	\$350	N/A	.8000	\$1,400
132 WINCHESTER	\$69,788	\$129,578	\$59,790	N/A	\$4,500	N/A	.5643	\$5,828

<i>Division</i>	<i>Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services</i>	<i>Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)</i>	<i>Difference between Expenditures and Funding</i>	<i>Percentage Proration Applied to Grant per Appropriation Act</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (unprorated)</i>	<i>FY 2001 Projected State Grant Amount (prorated)</i>	<i>2000-2002 Composite Index</i>	<i>FY 2001 Required Local Match</i>
134 FAIRFAX CITY	\$29,880	\$0	-\$29,880	0%	\$1,076	\$0	.8000	\$0
135 FRANKLIN CITY	\$36,286	\$68,198	\$31,912	N/A	\$6,564	N/A	.2973	\$2,777
136 CHESAPEAKE	\$797,832	\$1,670,535	\$872,703	N/A	\$58,562	N/A	.3517	\$31,770
137 LEXINGTON	\$3,801	\$1,957	-\$1,844	51%	\$803	\$413	.4578	\$349
138 EMPORIA	\$22,948	\$26,281	\$3,333	N/A	\$4,415	N/A	.3299	\$2,174
139 SALEM	\$82,252	\$131,606	\$49,354	N/A	\$2,883	N/A	.4370	\$2,238
140 BEDFORD CITY	\$21,640	\$26,554	\$4,914	N/A	\$1,532	N/A	.3360	\$775
142 POQUOSON	\$53,283	\$118,435	\$65,152	N/A	\$626	N/A	.3414	\$325
143 MANASSAS	\$130,004	\$272,754	\$142,750	N/A	\$7,794	N/A	.4296	\$5,870
144 MANASSAS PARK	\$44,836	\$0	-\$44,836	0%	\$4,068	\$0	.3184	\$0
202 COLONIAL BEACH	\$13,923	\$10,765	-\$3,158	77%	\$1,783	\$1,379	.3020	\$596
207 WEST POINT	\$17,680	\$26,619	\$8,938	N/A	\$939	N/A	.3203	\$442
Totals	\$22,181,454	\$24,597,066	\$2,415,612		\$2,040,476	\$284,469		\$848,115