



Adult Education Competitive Grant Application Process

Question and Answers Set 7 (Questions 102 – 108) May 17, 2017

The questions and answers pertaining to the *Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)* competitive grant funding for FY 2017 have been compiled, combining duplicate questions received, and grouped in sets by date. Q & A's will be numbered sequentially. Additional sets of questions will be posted once a week, or more often, if needed.

102. Q. We received a deficiency that seems to be pointing to a lack of specificity about our program in the LWDB local plan. How can we address this?

102. A. A statement could be made that while C&I was not identified as a high priority in the LWDB Local Plan, there is data to support C&I services in the adult education region. Specific data should be provided for the C&I population to be served, not the region as a whole.

103. Q. The letter we received states, "You must decrease the AEFLA allocation by the amount requested in the C&I grant." I did this and am not sure how else to reflect that I subtracted it from AEFLA.

103. A. The budget information included in the deficiency letter was standard instructions provided to all applicants. Please see the final budget estimates sent in the Memo, dated May 16, 2017, Virginia's Estimated Adult Education Award for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017. Locate your region in Column 1 and find your final allocation in Column 7. Adjust your budget accordingly.

NOTE: VDOE apologizes for the error in not having the budget workbooks updated immediately. The workbooks will be updated on the website by close of business May 17, 2017.

104. Q. Does this [Memo, Virginia's Estimated Adult Education Award for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 sent May 16, 2017] mean that we should go ahead and submit our IELCE application without any further information about award amounts? We were initially under the impression that we would receive the budget allocations and then revise our budget to match the allocation. Please advise.

104. A. Yes, resubmitted IELCE applications will be reviewed against the original rubric and criteria. Award amounts will be contingent upon the quality of the resubmitted applications and budget requests, and taking into consideration the total amount available to VDOE.

105. Q. In searching the links provided and comparing them to the previous Table 1 and Table 2, it does not appear that any information was updated or changed. Please verify that no changes were made to Table 1 or Table 2.

105. A. That is correct, no changes have been made to Table 1 or 2 in the Request for Applications.

106. Q. Is it acceptable in our resubmission to highlight the new information that has been added in direct response to the deficiencies that you have outlined on the conditional approval notification?

106. A. It is not necessary to highlight the new text in your resubmitted response. See also response to Question 98.

107. Q. How can we address a deficiency that is asking about legacy issues?

107. A. A statement could be made that acknowledges previous deficiencies or challenges and provides strategic plans and timelines to ameliorate these challenges and institute program improvements.

108. Q. How does one address "technology deficiency" cited in 2.5.4 when the Regional Program is not allowed access, nor allowed to bring in, any form of technology (hardware or software) for instructional C&I purposes according to institution policy?

108. A. A statement could be made to clarify the current policy in the correctional institution(s). Consider in this response, too, that computers and Internet connectivity are not the only ways to utilize technology for teaching and learning. You could research available offline programs or innovative teacher resources to be used in the classroom.