## **Assessment Instrument for Planning Effective Professional Development in Reading**



"Research affirms that quality classroom instruction in kindergarten and the primary grades is the single best weapon against reading failure." (National Research council, 1998, p. 343)

Virginia Department of Education Office of Elementary Instructional Services

## Assessment Instrument for Planning Effective Professional Development in Reading

This document offers an overview of the components of reading instruction supported by scientific research and a guide to the content that should be emphasized in an effective professional development program. For each component, a chart delineates the knowledge teachers need in order to understand the process and content of instruction and the most effective classroom strategies that teach each component.

The first section focuses on teacher knowledge and the second part enables an observer to determine if the most effective instructional strategies are being implemented in the classroom.		
School:	Date:	
Position (check one)		
Administrator	Reading Specialist	
Classroom Teacher	Grade	
Directions:		
checked yes, provide a brief comment can be filled out individually or at gra provide an overall view of the level of their designee should complete <b>Part 1</b> classrooms to see if the most effective	ease check either yes or no for each item. For each item to support your answer. <b>Part One – Teacher Knowledge</b> de level meetings. The findings should be compiled to f teacher knowledge in the school. An administrator or <b>Two – Instructional Strategies</b> , while observing in instructional strategies are being implemented. The overall picture of the instructional strategies that are being	
After careful examination of these two	o documents, the school will be able to determine their	

professional development needs to ensure effective professional development that will improve student achievement, support consistent implementation of a comprehensive reading program,

Contents: Pages:

Part One – Teacher Knowledge 2-7

Part Two – Instructional Strategies 8-12

build school capacity, and increase faculty morale, collaboration, and commitment.

	Part One - Teacher Knowledge		
	Phonemic Awareness/Letter Knowledge		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Know the progression of development of phonological skill (rhyming; word identification; syllable counting; onset-rime segmentation and blending; phoneme identification, segmentation, and blending).	Yes No	
2.	Understand the difference between speech sounds (phonemes) and the letters/letter combinations (graphemes) that represent them.	Yes No	
3.	Identify and pronounce the 40 to 44 vowel and consonant speech sounds in English.	Yes No	
4.	Understand the print concepts young children must develop (e.g., front of the book; that print, not the picture, tells the story; and directionality).	Yes No	
5.	Segment and blend any single-syllable word at the onset-rime and phoneme level.	Yes No	
6.	Understand the role of letter name knowledge in reading and spelling.	Yes No	

	Part One	- Phonics/Word Study
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence
1.	Understand the layered concept of the English spelling system: phoneme-grapheme, syllable pattern, and morpheme units in print.	Yes No
2.	Contrast explicit, systematic teaching with implicit, incidental, teaching.	Yes No
3.	Understand the developmental progression in which orthographic knowledge is generally acquired.	Yes No
4.	Understand the principles of teaching: model, lead, give guided and independent practice, and using data to make instructional decisions.	Yes No
5.	Recognize examples of sound- symbol correspondences, rules, and patterns in English; recognize syllable types and morphemes.	Yes No
6.	Understand the phonological features of a second language, for example Spanish, and how they interfere with English pronunciation and phonics.	Yes No

	Part One - Fluent, Automatic Reading of Text		
	Evaluation Criteria	1	Documentation of Evidence
1.	Understand the role of fluency in word recognition, oral reading, silent reading, and comprehension of written communication.	Yes No	
2.	Define and identify an example of text at a student's frustration, instructional, and independent reading level.	Yes No	
3.	Understand reading fluency from several perspectives: stage of normal reading development; intrinsic characteristic of some reading disorders; and consequence of practice and instruction.	Yes No	
4.	Know the oral reading rates for each grade level:  Gr. 1 – 60 wpm  Gr. 2 – 70 wpm  Gr. 3 – 90 wpm  Gr. 4 – 120 wpm  Gr. 5 – 120 wpm.	Yes No	

	Part One - Vocabulary		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Understand the role of vocabulary/concept development and vocabulary knowledge in comprehension.	Yes No	
2.	Understand the role and characteristics of direct, explicit, contextual methods of vocabulary instruction.	Yes No	
3.	<ul> <li>Know varied techniques for vocabulary instruction</li> <li>Before reading (e.g., read a sentence from the book that contains the word you want to teach and have students use context and prior knowledge to figure out its meaning)</li> <li>During reading (e.g., model how to figure out the meaning of a word by using context clues)</li> <li>After reading (e.g., assist students in learning words by having them categorize words).</li> </ul>	Yes No	
4.	Understand principles of word selection for vocabulary instruction.	Yes No	
5.	Know reasonable goals and exceptions for learners at various stages of reading development; appreciate the wide difference in students' vocabularies.	Yes No	

	Part One - Text Comprehension		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Understand comprehension- monitoring strategies commonly used by good readers.	Yes No	
2.	Understand the levels of reading (emergent, beginning, transitional, and intermediate/specialized) and how the skills spiral.	Yes No	
3.	Differentiate among before, during, and after reading strategies that are appropriate for both narrative and expository texts.	Yes No	
4.	Identify the typical structure of common narrative and expository text genres.	Yes No	
5.	Identify text structure and syntax (phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs and "academic language") that could be a source of miscomprehension.	Yes No	
6.	Understand the similarities and differences between written composition and text comprehension, and the usefulness of writing in building comprehension.	Yes No	

	Part One - Spelling		
	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Understand the organizing principles of the English spelling system at the sound, syllable, and morpheme levels.	Yes No	
2.	Identify students' level of spelling achievement and orthographic knowledge.	Yes No	

	Part One - Classroom Organization (Grouping/Student Learning)		
	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Understand how to group for different instructional purposes.	Yes No	
2.	Understand that monitoring student progress leads to regrouping and reteaching the knowledge and skills that the group needs.	Yes No	
3.	Know the knowledge and skills that have the highest impact on learning to read.	Yes No	
4.	Understand how to use peer tutoring and flexible grouping that allows students to move from one group to another.	Yes No	
5.	Utilize time effectively to reduce teacher talk and maximum the amount of time students are actively engaged in reading.	Yes No	
6.	Determine the appropriate level of instructional materials.	Yes No	
7.	Understand and utilize a variety of instructional strategies to offer students a variety of ways they can participate in active learning.	Yes No	

	Part Two – Instructional Strategies		
	Phonemic Awareness/Letter Knowledge		
	Evaluation Criteria		Documentation of Evidence
1.	Provides explicit and systematic instruction focusing on only one or two phonemic awareness skills at a time, such as segmenting and blending.	Yes No	
2.	Links sounds to letters as soon as possible.	Yes No	
3.	Uses screening, diagnostic, and systematic classroom-based instructional assessment to inform instruction.	Yes No	

	Part Two – Phonics/Word Study		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Provides explicit, systematic phonics instruction that teaches a sequenced set of letter sound relations.	Yes No	
2.	Provides explicit instruction in blending sounds to read words.	Yes No	
3.	Includes practice in reading texts that are written for students to use their phonics knowledge to decode and read words (decodable text).	Yes No	
4.	Gives substantial practice for children to apply phonics as they spell words.	Yes No	
5.	Uses systematic classroom- based instructional assessment to inform instruction.	Yes No	

	Part Two - Fluency, Automatic Reading of Text		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Provides opportunities for guided oral repeated reading that includes support and feedback from teachers, peers, and/or parents.	Yes No	
2.	Matches reading text and instruction to individual students.	Yes No	
3.	Determines instructional and independent reading level for each student.	Yes No	
4.	Applies systematic classroom- based instructional assessment to monitor student progress in both rate and accuracy (periodically check student reading rate).	Yes No	

	Part Two - Vocabulary		
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence	
1.	Provides daily opportunities for students to receive direct, explicit instruction in the meaning of words and in word learning strategies.	Yes No	
2.	Provides daily opportunities for students to read in and out of school.	Yes   No	
3.	Engages children in daily interactions that promote using new vocabulary in both oral and written language.	Yes No	
4.	Enriches and expands the vocabulary knowledge of English language learners.	Yes No	
5.	Actively involves students in making connections between concepts and words.	Yes No	

Part Two - Text Comprehension				
Evaluation Criteria		Documentation of Evidence		
1.	Explicitly explains, models, and teaches comprehension strategies, such as previewing and summarizing text.	Yes No		
2.	Provides comprehension instruction before, during, and after reading (e.g., strategy for narrative text – story map; strategy for expository text – KWL).	Yes No		
3.	Promotes thinking and extended conversation by asking questions and encouraging student questions and discussions.	Yes No		
4.	Provides extended opportunities for English language learners to participate.	Yes No		
5.	Uses systematic classroom-based instructional assessment to inform instruction.	Yes No		

Part Two - Spelling and Writing				
	Evaluation Criteria	Documentation of Evidence		
1.	Provides explicit and systematic spelling instruction daily to reinforce and extend students' growing knowledge about reading.	Yes No		
2.	Provides daily opportunities for manipulating, categorizing, and examining the similarities and differences in words.	Yes No		
3.	Provides daily opportunities to increase writing accuracy and speed.	Yes No		
4.	Models various types of writing and helps children apply spelling and reading knowledge in purposeful writing.	Yes No		
5.	Integrates writing across the curriculum.	Yes No		
6.	Uses systematic classroom-based instructional assessment to inform instruction.	Yes No		

	Part Two - Classroom Organization (Grouping/Student Learning)					
Evaluation Criteria		Documentation of Evidence				
1.	Uses alternate grouping formats (e.g., one-on-one, pairs, small group, whole group) for different instructional purposes and to meet students' needs.	Yes No				
2.	Uses small, same- ability groups, continually monitors student progress, and regroups to reflect students' knowledge and skills.	Yes No				
3.	Re-teaches knowledge and skills (when needed) that have the highest impact on learning to read.	Yes No				
4.	Uses flexible grouping that provides opportunities for students to be members of more than one group.	Yes No				
5.	Incorporates peer tutoring; pair students together (e.g., less proficient reader with a more proficient reader).	Yes No				
6.	Designs instruction to provide a greater amount of time for actively engaged student activities and less time for teacher talk.	Yes No				
7.	Provides a variety of presentation formats and ways students can participate in instruction.	Yes No				
8.	Uses an appropriate level of instructional materials.	Yes No				
9.	Adapts the pacing, content, and emphasis of instruction for individuals and groups of children, including English language learners and those having difficulty learning to read.	Yes No				

## **References:**

- Adams, M. J. <u>Beginning to Read: Learning and Thinking About Print</u>. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1990.
- <u>Every Child Reading: A Professional Development Guide.</u> Washington: Learning First Alliance, 2000.
- Every Child Reading: An Action Plan Washington: Learning First Alliance, 1998.
- Moats, Louisa. <u>Teaching Reading Is Rocket Science</u>. Washington: American Federation of Teachers, 1999.
- Snow, Catherine E., M. Susan Burns, and Peg Griffin. <u>Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children.</u> Washington: National Academy Press, 1998.
- Texas. Texas Education Agency. Texas Reading Initiative. <u>Beginning Reading Instruction-Components and Features of a Research-Based Reading Program.</u> By Robin Gilchrist. Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1996.
- United States. Department of Education. Center for the Improvement of Early Reading Achievement. <u>Put Reading First: The Research Building Blocks for Teaching Children to Read.</u> Washington: GPO, 2001.
- ---. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. National Institutes of Health. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. <u>Teaching Children To Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and Its Implications for Reading Instruction</u> By National Reading Panel. Washington: GPO, 2000.