SPECIAL EDUCATION PERFORMANCE REPORT

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

June 1, 2010

Manassas City Public Schools

9000 Tudor Ln
Manassas, VA 20110

Indicator 1: Graduation

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 1; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Indicator 2: Dropouts

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 2; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments

Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments with the percent of districts meeting the State's AYP objectives for the disability subgroup; and the participation rate for children with disabilities; and the proficiency rate for children with disabilities.

Indicator 3a

	AYP Objectives Met
Division Met AYP Objectives for Students with Disabilities Subgroup	Yes

School division cannot be measured against the state target for Indicator 3a.

Indicator 3b

	2008-2009 Division Performance	2008-2009 State Target	State Target Met
Students with Disabilities Participation Rate for English/Reading	99 %	95 %	Yes
Students with Disabilities Participation Rate for Math	97 %	95 %	Yes

Indicator 3c

	2008-2009 Division Performance	2008-2009 State Target	State Target Met
Students with Disabilities Proficiency Rate for English/Reading	73 %	81 %	No
Students with Disabilities Proficiency Rate for Math	67 %	79 %	No

Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion

Percent of school divisions with significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions with children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year.

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 4; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Percent of children aged 6 through 21 with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) that were in the regular class more than 80% of the day; in the regular class less than 40% of the day; and served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.

	2008-2009 Division Performance	2008-2009 State Target	State Target Met
80% or More of Time Inside Regular Classroom	55 %	64 %	No
40% or Less of Time Inside Regular Classroom	26 %	10 %	No
Served in Separate Public or	3.17%	< 1 %	No

Private School, Residential, Home-Based or Hospital Facility			

Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Percent of preschool children ages 2-5 with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (e.g., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings).

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 6; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

Percent of preschool children with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships), acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy), and use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

Divisions submitted baseline data to VDOE for 2008-2009. School division data will be reported for 2009-2010.

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

Due to a revised collection process, Virginia was unable to produce sufficient data to report at the LEA level. Data will be reported for 2009-2010.

Indicator 9: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Special Education and Related Services

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

	2008-2009 Disproportionate Representation Determination

Division with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.	No

"**Yes**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination cell means the division has been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. "**No**" in the 2008-2009 Disproportionate representation determination cell means the division was not identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator 10: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.

	2008-2009 Disproportionate Representation Determination
Division with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	No

"**Yes**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination cell means the division has been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability that is the result of inappropriate identification. "**No**" means the division has not been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator 11: Timeline for Eligibility

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and whose eligibility was determined within 65 business days.

	2008-2009 Division Performance	2008-2009 State Target	State Target Met

Children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and whose eligibility was determined within 65 business days.	100 %	100 %	Yes

Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP) developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

	2008-2009 Division Performance	2008-2009 State Target	State Target Met
Children Determined Eligible and IEPs Developed and Implemented by Their Third Birthdays	100 %	100 %	Yes

Indicator 13: Secondary IEP Goals and Transition Services

Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 13; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Indicator 14: Post-Secondary Outcomes

Percent of youth who had Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), who are no longer in secondary school, and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of post-secondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.

For the 2008-2009 school year, States were not required to report State data for Indicator 14; therefore, school division data for 2008-2009 are not being reported.

Virginia's 2008-2009 State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report can be found at
http://www.doe.virginia.gov/special_ed/reports_plans_stats/annual_performance_reports/2

008-09.pdf

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires each state to report to the public on state-level data and individual school division-level data and to report on whether the state and the divisions met state targets described in the state's special education State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report. Information on State Performance Plan indicators and on measurement against these state targets is provided in this document.

For 2008-2009, states are only required to report data to the public on Indicators 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12. Data reported by some school divisions for some indicators, especially Indicators 5c and 12 are very small numbers. Since division performance is reported as a percentage for these indictors, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the division performance because of the small numbers involved. Individual school divisions can answer questions about actual numbers used in calculations for certain indicators or The Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) .

Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments

> *Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments with the percent of districts meeting the state's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) objectives for the disability subgroup; and the participation rate for children with disabilities; and the proficiency rate for children with disabilities*

Data Source: VDOE state assessment data

Measurement for youth with IEPs on assessment performance is the same measurement as for all youth for determining AYP for schools and school divisions under the No Child Left Behind Act. Virginia's annual measurable objectives (AMO) for students with disabilities are consistent with those for all students as described in Virginia's Accountability Workbook, which may be accessed at http://www.doe.virginia.gov/VDOE/nclb/#csa.

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

> *Percent of children aged 6-21 with IEPs that were inside regular class more than 80 percent of the day; inside regular class less than 40 percent of the day; and served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements*

Data Source: December 1 Special Education Child Count

Data used for measurement against the state target are a percentage reflecting the amount of time students ages 6-21 receive special education outside the regular classroom.

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

> *Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities*

Data Source: Parent Survey

Parents complete the survey disseminated by VDOE. VDOE analyzes data from surveys returned.

Indicator 9: Disproportionality in Special Education and Related Services

> *Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification*

Data Source: School division submission

School divisions use an individual student record-review checklist to document that eligibility decisions were appropriately made based on pre-referral, general education instructional interventions. School divisions submit the written summary of their individual student record review to VDOE for analysis and determination as to which divisions have disproportionate representation that is a result of inappropriate identification.

"**Yes**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination cell means the division has been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification. "**No**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination cell means the division was not identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator 10: Disproportionality in Specific Disability Categories

> *Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification*

Data Source: School division submission

School divisions use an individual student-record review checklist for six designated disability categories (mental retardation, specific learning disabilities, emotional disturbance, other health impairments, autism and speech/Language Impairments) to document that eligibility decisions for the six designated disability categories were consistent with the definitions of those disability categories in state regulations.

"**Yes**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination means the division has been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability that is the result of inappropriate identification. "**No**" in the 2008-2009 disproportionate representation determination cell means the division has not been identified as having disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Indicator 11: Timeline for Part B Eligibility

> *Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and whose eligibility was determined within 65 business days*

Data Source: School division submission

School divisions collect data on compliance with 65 day timelines. All divisions review individual student records for initial eligibility meetings. Data submitted to VDOE include the percentage of students meeting the required timelines.

Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition

> *Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays*

Data Source: School division submission

School divisions collect data on children served in Part C and referred to Part B for eligibility determination and IEP development. All divisions review individual student records for initial eligibility meetings and IEP meetings. Data submitted to VDOE include the percentage of students meeting the required timelines.

(document revised 9/2010)